

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/849,398                    | 05/07/2001                | Kazutoshi Yasunaga   | P19926                  | 1049             |  |
| 7055                          | 7590 02/03/2006           |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. |                           |                      | OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N    |                  |  |
| 1950 ROLAN<br>RESTON, VA      | D CLARKE PLACE<br>A 20191 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| 11201011, 11                  |                           |                      | 2655                    |                  |  |
|                               |                           |                      | DATE MAIL ED. 02/02/200 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application   | n No.   | Applicant(s)   |          |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|----------|--|--|
|   |  | 09/849,398  | 3   | YASUNAGA ET AL.  |          |  |  |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  |   | Art Unit   |          |  |  |
|   |  | Michael N.  | •   | 2655   |          |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply  | appears on the  | cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  | }        |  |  |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. R 1.136(a). In no ever I. I reply within the statut I riod will apply and will I atute. cause the appli | nt, however, may a reply be tim<br>ory minimum of thirty (30) days<br>expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>sation to become ABANDONE | nely filed<br>s will be considered timely.<br>the mailing date of this communi<br>O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ication. |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1  | 4 November 20   | <u>05</u> .   |  |          |  |  |
| •   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  |   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |  |          |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims  |   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□   | Claim(s) 21-30 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 21-30 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are  | drawn from con  |   |  |          |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers   |   |   |  |          |  |  |
| 10)   | The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | accepted or b)[ the drawing(s) be rrection is require   | e held in abeyance. See<br>d if the drawing(s) is ob  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.  |          |  |  |
| Priority  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |          |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |   |   |  |          |  |  |
| Attachmer   |  |   | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)  |          |  |  |
| 2) Noti   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE<br>er No(s)/Mail Date  |   | Paper No(s)/Mail D  |  | )        |  |  |

Art Unit: 2655

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 21-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6421639 in view of Hosada et al (5727122).

As per claims 21-30, U.S. Patent No. 6421639 teaches all of the claim elements (as found in claims 2-6, 9, of 6421639) except for the claim element of using the modified excitation vector into the synthesis filter in a CELP system, however, <u>Hosada et al (5727122)</u> teaches the concept of modifying the excitation vector for eventual use into the synthesis filter (<u>Hosada et al (5727122</u>), col. 6 lines 19-25, e<sub>scl</sub> being the modified excitation vector of e<sub>sl</sub>; Fig. 1, from subblock 114 to 115 to 105.) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of speech signal processing at the

Art Unit: 2655

time the invention was made to modify the invention as claimed in '639 with a modified excitation vector because it would advantageously incorporate the transfer function of the conversion circuit itself (Hosada et al (5727122), col. 6 lines 24-25).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Hosoda et al</u> (5727122).

As per claims 21,28, <u>Hosoda et al (5727122)</u> teaches a modified excitation vector generator used in a CELP speech encoder/decoder, coupled to an algebraic codebook and synthesis filter (as CELP system, abstract, fig.1) comprising:

"a vector providing system configured to provide an input excitation vector from said algebraic codebook" as the excitation codebook (fig. 1, subblock 108, and Fig. 2, subblock 205);

"a waveform providing system configure to provide a fixed waveform from memory" as the codebooks storing the waveform (col. 7 lines 40-45);

Art Unit: 2655

"a convolution system configured to convolute said fixed waveform with said input excitation vector to generate a modified excitation vector" as convolutional processing (col. 6 lines 19-21);

"wherein said modified excitation vector is provided as an input to the synthesis filter" as modified escl enters the synthesis filter (col. 6 lines 19-25, e<sub>scl</sub> being the modified excitation vector of e<sub>sl</sub>; Fig. 1, from subblock 114 to 115 to 105).

As per claims 22,27,30, <u>Hosoda et al (5727122)</u> teaches spreading the input excitation vector over a new vector basis (as dictated by the h impulse response over a subframe (col. 6 lines 30-35).

As per claim 23, <u>Hosoda et al (5727122)</u> teaches linear convolution (col. 6 lines 19-21, referring to equation (5)).

As per claims 24-26,29, <u>Hosoda et al (5727122)</u> teaches a plurality of non-zero, fixed waveforms for the subframe (col. 6 lines 19-25, the codebooks referring back to the indexed coefficients (col. 6 lines 10-20).

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-30 have been considered but are not persuasive. As per applicant's arguments pertaining to the Hosada reference not teaching a

Art Unit: 2655

stored fixed waveform, examiner argues that the waveform that is used is indeed a stored fixed waveform (as an example, see Hood's related reference, US5752223, Fig. 10, subblock 150, and 152, showing the fixed waveforms, and col. 14 lines 35-44).

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wayne Young, can be reached at (571)272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

mno 2/1/06